IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL NO. 826 OF 2006

Shri Appasaheb Laxman Wable ...Appellant
V/s.
Sou. Satwashila Dattatraya Pawar & Ors. ...Respondents

Ms.Nayna Thatte for the Appellant.
None for the Respondents.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED : JULY 11, 2007

P.C. :-

. Heard the learned Counsel for the appellant.

2. In my view, no substantial question of law is raised by the appellant in this appeal. It is the case of the appellant that the appellant had purchased Gat No.309 at Village Sangvi, Tal.Baramati and that the respondent had purchased Gat No.308 which was the property joint to the property purchased by the appellant. It is submitted that by mistake, the appellant was in possession of Gat No.308 and respondent was in possession of Gat No.309. The learned Counsel for the appellant submits that she would like to explore the possibility of settlement to find out whether the

respondent herein is willing to exchange the plot which is in her possession. Only, therefore, in order to explore this possibility of settlement, notice is being issued to the respondents.

3. Issue notice before admission to the respondents returnable on 6th August, 2007.

(V.M. KANADE, J.)